

## IEA DSM-Programme 46<sup>th</sup> meeting (Halifax) QUICK MINUTES

**NOTE:** These quick minutes are made only for the practical purpose of recalling main items and decisions at the meeting and to allow a fast feedback from the EXCO before the minutes from the meeting are processed. Hans Nilsson, is responsible for these quick-minutes and should be contacted with questions and for corrections [nosslinh@telia.com](mailto:nosslinh@telia.com)

#	Issue	
1 General Busi- ness	Status of the Implementing agreement and IEA relations	Efficiency One of Nova Scotia is in the process to be approved by CERT as a new participant of the IEA DSM Technology Initiative (AKA Implementing Agreement)
		The ExCo expressed its pleasure that there was representation from the IEA secretariat by the Desk Officer Tyler Bryant.
		Energy Efficiency is taking a more prominent role in the work of the IEA and in the preparations for COP 21.
		South Africa has suspended their participation in DSM for budgetary reasons, but would like to “stay in touch”
		Discussions show that Ireland is now interested in joining Tasks 24 and 25. New ExCo member from Korea and new ExCo Alternate from Italy.
2. New work	2b) DSM- university (DSMU)	The first 15 webinars have been delivered and two more are planned for 2015 and the first six for 2016 are in preparation. The schedule aims at having one webinar each month.
		Delegates raised suggestion for developing the webinars by adding more contextual material for the audiences to be more tuned for the webinar message and to develop “pre-recorded” material on specific issues e.g. business model cases.
		(Note: Task 26 suggests development of “MOOC” as part of their task and in collaboration with the DSM University)  The Task Status Report was approved
	2a) Task 26 Multiple Benefits	The work programme as presented in documents was approved. The financial structure should be adjusted and distributed, after consultation with IETS, for the countries to decide upon.
		Nova Scotia, Austria, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, the Netherlands and Finland were all in favour and should seek the necessary means to participate in the Task.
	2c) Big Data	Harry Vreuls and Mathias Stifter presented an approach to “scout” the opportunities of Big Data for DSM-purposes.
		The discussion mentioned the need to recognize relevant stakeholders and also to provide a result that could show how big data could be useful e.g. by case studies. The issue is not only how direct energy data can be used but more about how such can be combined with other data and create new aspects.

		<p>It was decided that the topic should be further developed for coming ExCo-meetings.</p> <p>Mathias, Harry and Svetlana should consider the matter further for the next ExCo.</p>
3. Load Shape issues	a) Task 17	The Task Status Report was approved
	A2) Extension of Task 17	<p>Several activities were proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluation, Measurement and Verification of DR</li> <li>• Forecast and Reliability (of power systems)</li> <li>• Cost Benefit Analysis for DR</li> </ul> <p>The discussion revealed interest in how a methodology could be developed that goes beyond aggregation and reflect upon applications on a “lower” level. Another angle would be how DR could be handled in a “market design” perspective and what business models could emerge.</p> <p>Yet another issue could be if there is any impact on load level or if it is only load shape.</p>
	b) Task 24	The Task Status Report was approved
4 Load Level issues	a) Task 16-3	The Task Status Report was approved.
	Task 16-4	<p>An extension, focussing on “deep retrofit”, taxonomy for services, demand response business models, knowledge transfer, financing (in particular crowd-funding) and dissemination via the DSM-University, is in preparation. Budget would be 14.5 k€/ year and continue over a 3-year period.</p> <p>Participation by Switzerland, The Netherlands and GIZ (for 9 months only). Norway confirmed that they should join. Discussions are in progress with Korea, <u>Austria</u>, Belgium, UK (England), US and <u>Canada (Nova Scotia)</u>. Underlined those who expressed a stronger interest.</p>
	b) Task 25	<p>The Task Status Report was approved with the explanation that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cases should be presented in a special format for the DSM-U</li> <li>• Timing will be extended with Norway as the new participant</li> <li>• A proposal for the EU Horizon 2020 should be made</li> <li>• New subtasks should be defined to accommodate how different values (e.g. multiple benefits) could be a part of the models</li> <li>• Another subtask could be empirical analysis</li> </ul>
6 Programme Visibility		The Task Status Report was approved.
7 Administrative matters		<p>ExCo delegates were reminded that some payments had not been sufficiently clear as regards origin and which year to which they pertain.</p> <p>The Financial Status Report and Budget were approved.</p>

		<p>The Task Status Report was approved. A more formal report on the work plan and its elements will be provided at the upcoming ExCo.</p> <p><b>Note: “Task Zero”:</b> The idea to gather the duties and services for running the Implementing Agreement and add the services for the DSM-university in a more formal “Task Zero” was agreed. The proposal to raise the contribution to 11000 USD/year was discussed and basically accepted.</p>
	<p>Election of chairman and vice chairs.</p>	<p>Rob Kool was elected Chairman for the coming two years with acclamation.</p> <p>Boris Papousek and Andreas Enge were elected as Vice Chairs.</p> <p>The ExCo thanked Paul Atkins for his service as vice chair when he is now changing to a new job.</p> <p><b>Coming meetings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sweden has offered to host the 47<sup>th</sup> ExCo meeting in the spring 2016 (March 16-18<sup>th</sup>)</li> <li>• There were no suggestions for autumn 2016 but Belgium and Italy were mentioned as possible candidates</li> <li>• The Netherlands might want to host spring 2017</li> </ul>

## **APPENDIX: Notes from the special session**

The following generic observations were made on Task collaboration

- Peer review of papers and Task (extension)
- Training sessions
- Back to back experts meetings
- Summary (5-10 pagers) exchange between Tasks
- Delegation of experts for exchange of views
- Exchange of stakeholder analysis and positioning
- Mind mapping to explore connections and relations (topics, unresolved issues, expertise needed)
- Display of such a mind map on web and to use for thematic document to ExCo (and interested parties)

Observations on relations with the IEA Secretariat

- Cross-support and – promotion
- Detecting useful issues from Tasks that fit into the overall IEA communication
- Mutual take on Multiple Benefits (how to organize?)
- Energy efficiency markets (Task 16 and 25)
- Behavioural issues (no defined product yet)
- Policy pathways (need to define)
- Training (The DSM University),
- Joint workshops