

Harmonised domestic energy savings calculation: international experiences and standards

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON DOMESTIC USE OF ENERGY CAPE TOWN, SOUTH AFRICA 30 MARCH - 1 APRIL 2015



Harry Vreul s Netherl ands Enterprise Agency (RV0.nl)

Overview

- Introduction
- European standards (CEN) & international standards (ISO)
- IEA DSM work: Task 21 Harmonisation of energy savings cal cul ations
- Highl ights from case applications
- Global trends



Introduction (1) Europe

- 2006, the Energy Service Directive (ESD) in Europe
 - A regul ar (every three years) reporting by the Member States
 - Stimul ating common efforts to improve energy savings calcul ations and has initiated
- November 2006 to April 2009, the project "Eval uation and Monitoring for the EU Directive on Energy End-Use Efficiency and Energy Services" (EMEEES) for a set of cal cul ation methods and case applications, with 21 organisations
- November 2012 a new EU Energy Efficiency Directive (EED)
 came into force
 - Cumul ative end-use energy savings target of 1.5% of the annual energy sales to final customers
 - More rul es on energy savings cal cul ations
 - A system of energy efficiency obligations
 - Every 4 year a Pl an and annual progress reports

Introduction (2) Standardisation organisations

- 2007 the European standardisation organisation CEN started work on standards for
 - Common methods of cal cul ation of energy consumption, energy efficiencies and energy savings
 - A common measurement and verification of protocol and methodol ogy for energy use indicators
- 2011 the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) started ISO/TC 257 dealing with "General technical rules for determination of energy savings in renovation projects, industrial enterprises and regions"



Introduction (3) USA

- 2012: US Department of Energy (DOE) starts the Uniform Methods Project (UMP)
 - A framework
 - A set of protocol s for determining the energy savings from energy efficiency measures and programmes
 - Publications and updates since 2013
- Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships (NEEP)
 - In the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States of the USA
 - EM&RV Forum, established in 2008 and started publications in 2010
- State and Local Energy Efficiency Action Network (SEE Action)
 - is a state- and I ocal -I ed effort facil itated by DOE and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
 - EMBAY Working group started publications in 2011

International standards: CEN

- 2007 the European standardisation organisation CEN started two Working Groups
 - one for Top-Down cal cul ations (indicators)
 - one for Bottom-Up cal cul ations
- April 2012 the final draft was published for formal voting by the members of CEN
- December 2012: standard EN16212:2012
 "Introductory el ement, Energy Efficiency and Savings Cal cul ation, Top-down and Bottom-up Methods Compl ementary el ement" became official I y avail abl e



standard EN16212:2012

- This European standard provides a general framework for calculating energy savings
- Organised as follows:
 - the methodol ogy and general rules of calculation;
 - terminol ogy and definitions;
 - the characteristics of the top-down and bottom-up methods;
 - the top-down cal cul ation method;
 - the bottom-up cal cul ation methods



Steps and sub-steps in the cal cul ation of bottom-up energy savings as incl uded in EN16212:2012

Step 1: Calculation of unitary gross annual energy savings

```
Step 1.a: Definition of elementary unit
Step 1.b: General formula / calculation model
Step 1.c: Baselines and specific formulas
Step 1.d: Normalisation of energy consumption
Step 1.e: Technical interaction
Step 1.f: Application of conversion factors (when relevant)
```

Step 2: Calculation of total gross annual energy savings

```
Step 2.a: Calculating the number of elementary units of action 
Step 2.b: Summing up the unitary gross annual energy savings
```

Step 3: Calculation of total annual energy savings

```
Step 3.a: calculation of total annual energy savings
Step 3.b: correction for double counting
Step 3.c: correction for multiplier effect
Step 3.d: correction for free-rider effect
Step 3.e: correction for rebound effect
```

Step 4: Calculation of remaining energy savings for target year



IEA DSM Task 21 Harmonisation of energy savings cal cul ations

- The overall aim of Task 21 was to identify basic concepts, calculation rules and systems for Energy Savings Calculations standards.
- A study on improved comparability and harmonisation of energy saving calculations in a selected group of case applications.
- A templ ate was devel oped to document the information for the sel ected case applications.
- Through this template information on the six identified key elements to understand the calculated savings was gathered.
- A report on the key elements and basic concepts for harmonised energy savings calculations



Templ ate to document and report energy savings cal cul ations for case appl ications

- 1. Summary of the programme
- 2. Formul a for cal cul ation of Annual Energy Savings
- 3. Input data and cal cul ations
- 4. GHG savings
- References
- Annex
- Definitions



1. Summary of the programme

- Short description of the programme
 - Purpose or goal of the programme
 - Type of instrument(s) used; E.g. financial support, subsidize, label and standard, agreements, tax reduction
- General and specific user category
- Technol ogie(s) invol ved
- Status of the eval uation and energy savings cal cul ations
 - qualify the status: 1. Legal; 2. Official stamped; 3. Semi official; 4. Use in practice; 5. Under devel opment; 6. Under research



2. Formul a for cal cul ation of Annual Energy Savings

- Formul a used for the calculation of annual energy savings
- Specification of the parameters in the cal cul ation
- Specification of the unit for the cal cul ation
- Basel ine issues
- Normalization
- Energy savings corrections
 - Gross-net corrections
 - Corrections due to data collection problem

3. Input data and energy and 4.GHG savings

3. Input data and cal cul ations

- Parameter operational ization
- Cal cul ation of the annual savings as applied
- Total savings over lifetime
 - Savings lifetime of the measure or technique sel ected
 - Lifetime savings cal cul ation of the measure or technique

4. Green House Gas (GHG) savings

- Annual GHG-savings
 - Emission factor for energy source
 - Annual GHG-savings cal cul ation as applied
- GHG Lifetime savings
 - Emission factor



Highl ights from case applications

- Lighting for households
- Residential insulation
- Heat pumps in househol ds
- Heating in commercial buildings programmes
- Air conditioning in commercial building/offices
- Variable Speed Drive and High Efficient motors in industry
- Demand Response programmes



Case applications on lighting for households

France

Households; Lighting

- Republic of Korea 32W fluorescent lamps
- The Netherlands Lighting in households
- Spain

Efficient lighting in the households

USA

Upstream Lighting Programs in California



Issued formul as in the case application per country

France

ES= (1 - correction factor repl acement old cfl units (0,30)) x (number of cfl units promoted/installed x 1/1000 x (capacity old bul bs x burning hours old - capacity in W new bul bs x burning hours new)

Korea

ES (Kwh) = Power savings per unit x annual running hours (h) x number of subsidised units

The Netherl ands

ES= number of CFL unites sold x 1/1000 x (average capacity in W old bul bs x burning ours old - capacity in W of new CFL x burning ours new)

Spain

ES= number households x number of lamps per house substituted x annual number of lighting usage x (sum number lamps specific kind old x installed power W old - sum number lamps specific kind new x installed power new)

United States: case area Cal ifornia

ES= instal | ation rate | 10U discounted product p x average hours of use iou discounted prod p x 1/1000 (Wp ol d - Wp new)

Key parameters in the case application per country

France

- Method is focused on CFL units:
- Deal s with an average 80 W for incandescent bul bs and 18 W for new CFLs. Del ta Watt is therefore 62W;
- Burning hours t are assumed to be 800. This amount is based on the living room and an assumed utilisation of 2 hours and 10 minutes per day on average. Burning hours t do not change after the replacement.

Korea

- Method is focused on fl uorescent l amps;
- Deal's with old fluorescent lamps of 40W and new fluorescent lamps of 32W. Del tais 8W;
- Burning hours t are assumed to be: 2771. This amount is based on all rooms in a buil ding.

The Netherl ands

- Method is focused on CLF-units:
- Average power old I amp is 55,8W and average power new I amp is 12,4W. Del ta is 33,4W;
- Burning hours t are assumed to be 482. This amount is based on all households and on all rooms in a house. Burning hours do not change after the replacement.

Spain

- Method is focused on LED-units:
- Assumed power old lamp is 40W and assumed average power new lamp is 4W. Del ta is 36W.
- Burning hours t are assumed to be around 700. This amount is based on energy auditing experiences. Burning hours do not change after the repl acement.

United States case area Cal ifornia

- Method is focused on CLF units:
- Overal I del ta watts 44,5 W. This val ue depends on CFLs, I amp wattage and the rel evant basel ine;
- Burning hours t are approximately 657 hour annually (1,8 daily time 365) and are determined via monitoring e.g.
 retrieving information on operating hours of installed measures. This is done as a function of dwelling unit
 characteristics, room type, fixture type, I amp type, and region.



Corrections applying to the new situation per country

France

• It is assumed is that in 30% of the case Pol d is al ready a CFL; for this a correction factor of (1-0,3) is used.

Korea

• Assumption units sold = units installed without corrections.

The Netherlands

- Assumption units sol d = units installed without corrections.
 Spain
- Assumption units sold = units installed without corrections.

United States case area California

- Several steps in corrections:
- Not all shipped I amps are sold in the period the program is running;
- Overal I gross-to-net correction, incl uding CFLs being repl aced by CFLs.
- Ad 1) An instal I ation rate of 71% (incl uding a I eakage factor for correcting the total sal es data covering a I arger sal es area than that of the distribution company active in the Lighting program- and a factor for shipment versus sal es).
- Ad 2) Overal I correction of 54%. This means a factor of (1-0,46).



Conclusions on the Lighting case applications

- assumptions on the base situation
 - Most cases depart from simil ar base assumptions as to how to account savings per application
 - Differences in this base situation thus relate mainly to the parameter values used, notably for burning hours and lifetime
 - the assumption for burning hours for use in the Living room (with a high) number of burning hours is no Longer the most appropriate one
 - An average value for the occupied rooms might also overestimate the burning hours, since replacements more often seem to take place in rooms and spaces with low burning hours (like garages).
- the choice of market and/or basel ine situation
 - Some cases take into account that a number of systems ('before' situation) already are CFL units.
 - The assumption that all sold CFLs replace incandescent bulbs is open for discussion, as well as the assumption that sold CFLs are installed immediately.
- whether or not specific corrections are taken into account.
 - clearly distinguishing, the effects of certain corrections is better understood and made more transparent.
 - Corrections due to data problems should get more attention, as these are very rarely well documented

General formula for lighting

The formul as for calculating the annual energy savings as used in the countries case applications generally contain 4 elements:

- the situation before: the old lamp;
- 2) the situation after: the new I amp;
- 3) the average burning hours of the I amp;
- 4) possible normal isations;
- 5) correction factor(s).

The first three elements are included in formula

Annual energy savings: ES = $1/1000 (P_{old} - P_{new}) \times t$

The symbol s "P" and "t" in formul a (1) follow those as provided by (international) standards such as ISO80000-7, 2008 and NEN-EN 12665. Both use t for time. Like many other norms, NEN-EN 12665 uses P for Power.



Case applications on residential insulation

France

Households; Retrofit wall insulation

The Netherlands Insulation and glazing

Norway retrofitting: Program El ectricity savings from window The "Enova Recommends"

Spain

Retrofit wall insulation

USACal ifornia

Residential Insulation Programs in



Issued formul as in the case application per country

- the French and the Norwegian cases are based on energy savings per m² of insulation material s/ windows
- the Dutch case is based on the estimated heat demand, calculated using a model approach for meeting the heat demand
- the Spanish case is based on a model for the building performance
- the USA case is based on billing analysis using two ANCOVA (fixed-effects) models: Conditional Savings (CSA) and Statistically Adjusted Engineering (SAE);



Basel ine issues in the case application per country

France

- The baseline insulation coefficient used for external wall is $U_0=3.3~\mathrm{W/m^2K}$. This corresponds to a non-insulated wall.
- For other insulation measures the basel ine used for the energy savings calculations is the 'stock average'.

Netherl ands

- The basel ine situation is the energy usage per year corresponding with the energy label before any energy savings measurements are taken
- The basel ine is different for each specific dwelling, depending on the way the dwelling was built and techniques used
- For cal cul ating the basel ine the same assumptions apply as for cal cul ating the energy savings

Norway

- It is assumed that the program only triggers an improved retrofit and not a replacement of the windows as such
- For this reason the U value of 1.6 for the old window is used

Spain

• A model is used to calculate the average energy use per type of dwelling en size class. The results of the model are used as a baseline



the energy use from the billing prior to the installation of insulation was used as the baseline

Concl usions

- The methodol ogies are quite different.
 - Part of the differences relate to the different aggregation levels in the models or measurements used (a technical system or an entire dwelling)
 - Also the approach is different, varying from model calculations to actual measurements for part of the realisation
- The individual country cases each add other factors and know-how to the situation and methods
- Assessing, discussing and using these experiences may help other countries in their information and avoid the need that each country studies each relevant aspect (again)
- Attention could be given to distinguishing better between the levels in calculations



Case appl ications on heat pumps in househol ds

- Ital y
 Use of el ectric heat pumps to
 produce hot
 sanitary water in
 househol d pl ants, in pl ace of
 conventional el ectric or gas water heaters
- The Netherlands Heat pumps in existing buildings
- Norway El ectricity savings from heat pumps:
 The Norwegian Househol d Subsidy Programme



Issued formul as in the case application per country

- The formul as for cal cul ating the annual energy savings as used in the three country applications are devel oped from a different view:
- the Spanish case focuses on repl acement of an existing air conditioner (R22 machines) with a water condensed chillier system (el ectric); the energy savings are based on calculations for that specific system to meet the cooling demand; and
- the Dutch case I ooks at all types of air conditioners and different energy sources (el ectricity, gas or heat); the energy savings are based on calculations for the efficiency of several systems that are in use for meeting the cool ing demand.
- The USA case application holds HVAC measures, but is not specific for air conditioners



Basel ine issues in the case application per country

Spain

the efficiency of the existing cool ing system

The Netherlands

 The reference situation with another air conditioning system or another air conditioner

USA

 the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) 2006 was applied as baselines.



Concl usions

- The methods are different with regard to level of approach.
- Following issues may be further looked into in the near future to achieve better documentation to make comparisons easier and useful estimates:
 - differences in used assumptions (e.g. I ife times, deterioration of efficiency over the years)
 - differences in Level of aggregation, ranging from a single system repl acement to a more integrated assessment. A step by step approach towards Level of aggregation may be considered, in which comparability is Looked into at these different Levels.
 - the need to distinguish between inherent differences in the way savings are calculated and additional programme specific considerations that may determine how to deal with free riders, additionality aspects etc.
- By I ooking into the first two elements, methodol ogies may be made more comparable between countries.
- With regard to the latter, these factors may be more country specific. Mutual learning may be possible in the approaches taken.

More detail ed Information

- IFA DSM website
 - www.ieadsm.org
- Reports for Task 21 Standardisation of energy savings calculations
 - Country reports (incl uding Demand Response programmes)
 - General report on basic concepts
 - Templ ate to document energy savings cal cul ations
 - Approaches to harmonised energy savings cal cul ations
 - Roadmaps to further devel opments



Global trends

Europe

- Since the end of 2012, after the publication of the CEN standard on energy savings calculations, there is no on going work within the European standardisation body
- The Energy Efficiency Directive (EED) provides a framework for cal cul ating the impact of energy savings caused by individual actions and puts priority on energy providers' obligations, but assessment of reports and follow up actions are outstanding

USA

- Uniform Methods Project: DOE aims to establish easy-to-follow protocols based on commonly accepted engineering and statistical method for savings for energy efficiency measures
- Continue increasing regional co-operations on MR&V
- Gl obal : ISO
 - Standard is about to be published
 - Work for specific topics continues

attention

Any Questions?

Harry Vreuls harry.vreuls@rvo.nl

